To: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tom

Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]; rin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA;Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]; om Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA[]

From: "Nepstad, Michael G SPK"

Sent: Mon 4/4/2011 5:17:52 PM

Subject: FW: BDCP EIS (UNCLASSIFIED)

BDCP NEPA 404 Permitting Integration 4-4-11.doc

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Michael G. Nepstad
Deputy Chief, Regulatory Division
US Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-200
Sacramento, California 95814
(916) 557-7262 Fax:(916) 557-6877
michael.g.nepstad@usace.army.mil

- * We want your feedback! Take the survey: http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html
- * Need information on the Regulatory Program? Visit our website: http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/index.html
- * Facebook: www.facebook.com/sacramentodistrict
- * YouTube: www.youtube.com/sacramentodistrict
- * Twitter: www.twitter.com/USACESacramento

----Original Message----

From: Idlof, Patricia S [mailto:Pldlof@usbr.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 04, 2011 9:57 AM

To: Nawi, David

Cc: Barajas, Federico; Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov; Nepstad, Michael G SPK; Maria Rea; Chotkowski, Michael A; Michael Tucker; Fry, Susan M; Victorine,

Rebecca A

Subject: RE: BDCP EIS

David,

Per your request, attached is a write-up regarding Reclamation's understanding of the integration of BDCP NEPA and Clean Water Act Section 404 permitting issues. Perhaps we can take some time to discuss these issues at our Federal coordination meeting on Thursday or we could schedule a separate meeting or conference call at your convenience. Please let me know if you have any immediate questions or concerns.

Patti Idlof

Bureau of Reclamation

Mid-Pacific Region, MP-152 916-992-3566 (c) pidlof@usbr.gov From: Nawi, David Sent: Friday, March 25, 2011 11:42 AM To: Idlof, Patricia S Cc: Barajas, Federico; Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov; Nepstad, Michael G SPK; Maria Rea; Chotkowski, Michael A; Michael Tucker Subject: BDCP EIS Patti - Would you please put together a short write-up - either email or attachment - outlining your view (no doubt the enlightened and correct view) of the extent to which there will be a need for further NEPA review by the Corps in connection with its permitting actions? It would be helpful if you would address: Since the BDCP EIS is project specific for the conveyance and water operations, will USACE have to conduct further review for those actions? What is the relation between the EIS Purpose and Need Statement, and the 404 Purpose Statement, and which actions does each apply to? Please include anything else that you think germane. I paste in below a sentence from an email that Jerry Meral sent to EPA. The federal agencies need to have a clear and uniform understanding of all this and communicate that understanding to the state and others. From Jerry's email: I would like to confirm our desire to avoid Clean Water Act sequential permitting. I want to be absolutely sure that our environmental document serves the needs of all our state and federal partners, including USEPA, COE, and SWRCB. Please feel free to discuss for clarification or any other reason.

Thanks.

David

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE